

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/723,351 | 11/26/2003 | Charles D Black | STRI-039 | 8221 | |
| 7590 12/15/2004 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| Michael S. Neustel | | | RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ | | |
| Suite No. 4 2534 South University Drive | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Fargo, ND 58103 | | | 3654 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004 | DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | γν | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/723,351 | BLACK, CHARLES | D | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | William A Rivera | 3654 | | | | |
| The Period for Re | e MAILING DATE of this communication eply | appears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | Iress | | | |
| THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re | ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR. If or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pereply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state or extended period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months after the maximum period for reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are reply will be stated by the Office later than three months are reply will be stated by the Office lat | N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from that the cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nmunication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)□ Res | ponsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This | action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T | his action is non-final. | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition o | of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4a) 0 5)∭ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)∭ Clai | m(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are without m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and | drawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application F | Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) □ The | specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | acement drawing sheet(s) including the corr oath or declaration is objected to by the | | | ` ' | | | |
| Priority unde | r 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of R 2) Notice of D | eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information | Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) Mail Date 11/16/2003. | _ | | 152) | | | |

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,390. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that claims 1-19 are included in and/or can be gleaned from claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,390.

Art Unit: 3654

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William A Rivera whose telephone number is 703-308-2684.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday - 7:30 to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on 703-308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER

December 10, 2004